The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

SAMPLE

BALLOT

FREETOWN Pct. 1

222/212

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010

To vote for a candidate, connect the arrow to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and connect the arrow.

GOVERNOR AND Lieutenant governor	
Vote for ONE	
PATRICK and MURRAY Democratic	
BAKER and TISEI ++++++++++++++Republican	
CAHILL and LOSCOCCO ++++++++Independent	
STEIN and PURCELLGreen-Rainbew	-
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE DALY	- •
ATTORNEY GENERAL	
Vote for ONE MARTHA COAKLEY + + + + + + + + + Democratic	
46 Georgige Rd., Mediord Candidate for Re-election JAMES P. MCKENNA + + + + + + + + + + Republican	_
28 Miles St., Milbury DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
SECRETARY OF STATE Vote for ONE	
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN + + + + + + + + Democratic 46 Lake St., Boston Gandidate for Re-election	- :
WILLIAM C. CAMPBELL	- :
45 Affingson Rd., Woburn JAMES D. HENDERSON +++++++++Unenrolled	
38 Brandymeade Qr., Stew DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	-
MATICIA SPALE UNLY	
TREASURER	
STEVEN GROSSMAN + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
30 Hurstington Rd., Newton KARYN E. POLITO + + + + + + + + + + + Republican	
11 Creatman Ridge Rd., Shrewsbury DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	<u> </u>
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
AUDITOR Vote for ONE	
SUZANNE M. BUMP ++++++++++Demesralic 429 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington	–
MARY Z. CONNAUGHTON ++++++++Republican	– •
NATHANAEL ALEXANDER FORTUNE +Groen-Ralabow 152 Westbrook Rd., Whately	– ,
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ORLY	;

REPRESENTATIVE IN CON	GRESS
FOURTH DISTRICT	Vote for ONE
274 Grove St., Newton	+ + + + + + + + Democratic Candidate for Re-election
SEAN DM BIELAT ++++++ 22 James St., Brookline	+ + + + + + + + Republican
SUSAN F. ALLEN	**********
122 Westlourne Ter. Brookline DONALD M. JORDAN ++++4	+ + Tax Revelt Independent
3 Fifth St., Wareham DO NOT VOTE IN TH	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW	FOR WRITE-IN.
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
COUNCILLOR	Voic for ONE
FIRST DISTRICT CHARLES OLIVER CIPOLLINI	Vote for ONE
208 King St., Fall River OLIVER P. CIPOLLINI, JR. +	
20 Biscayne Dr. Barristable	
DO NOT VOTE IN TH USE BLANK LINE BELOW	
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
	that at or ket diff
FIRST BRISTOL & PLYMOUTH DISTRICT DEREK A. MAKSY	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
025 BLANK TIME BELOW	FUR WRITE-IN.
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
REPRESENTATIVE IN GEN	Vote for ONE
DAVID B. SULLIVAN +++++ 1015 Madison St., Fall River	+ + + + + + + + Damecratic Candidate for Re-election
DAVID K. ROSE +++++++	+ + + + + + + + Republican
DO NOT VOTE IN TH	IS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW	TUR WHILE-IN.
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRISTOL DISTRICT	Vote for ONE
C. SAMUEL SUTTER	+++++++Osmecratic
259 Deader St., Fall River DO NOT VOTE IN TH	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW	FOR WRITE-IN.

OHLIHII	
BRISTOL COUNTY	Vote for ONE
THOMAS M. HODGSON + + +	+ + + + + + + + Republican Candidate for Re-election
JOHN F. QUINN	+++++++Democralic
219 Smith Neck Rd., Darlmouth	
ALAN D. GARCIA	+ + + + + + + + Independent
3 Blichwood Tet., Dartmouth	
DO NOT VOTE IN TH	IIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW	FOR WRITE-IN.
	
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
======	
COUNTY COMMISSIONE	
COUNTY COMMISSIONE	R
BRISTOL COUNTY	
BRISTOL COUNTY	R Vale for ONE
MARIA F. LOPES	R Vale for ONE
BRISTOL COUNTY MARIA F. LOPES + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Vote for ONE Vote for ONE A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
BRISTOL COUNTY MARIA F. LOPES 28 Wordester St., Talumon DO NOT VOTE IN TI	Vote for ONE Vote for ONE Candidat for Re-election HS SPACE.
BRISTOL COUNTY MARIA F. LOPES 28 Wordester St., Talumon DO NOT VOTE IN TI	Vote for ONE Vote for ONE A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SHERIFF

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would remove the Massachusetts sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol, where the sale of such beverages and alcohol or their importation into the state is already subject to a separate excise tax under state law. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2011.

A YES VOTE would remove the state sales are also allegholy beverages and alcohol where

tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol where their sale or importation into the state is sub-

ject to an excise tax under state law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and

YES 4 NO

QUESTIONS CONTINUED ON BACK

VOTE BOTH SIDES

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010? SUMMARY

This proposed law would repeal an existing state law that allows a qualified organization wishing to build government-subsidized housing that includes low- or moderate-income units to apply for a single comprehensive permit from a city or town's zoning board of appeals (ZBA), instead of separate permits from each local agency or official having jurisdiction over any aspect of the proposed housing. The repeal would take effect on January 1, 2011, but would not stop or otherwise affect any proposed housing that had already received both a comprehensive permit and a building permit for at least one unit.

Under the existing law, the ZBA holds a public hearing on the application and considers the recommendations of local agencies and officials. The ZBA may grant a comprehensive permit that may include conditions or requirements concerning the height, site plan, size, shape, or building materials of the housing. Persons aggrieved by the ZBA's decision to grant a permit may appeal it to a court. If the ZBA denies the permit or grants it with conditions or requirements that make the housing uneconomic to build or to operate, the applicant may appeal to the state Housing Appeals Committee (HAC).

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision issuing a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue the permit. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision issuing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to build or operate and

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's denial of a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue the permit. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision issuing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to build or operate and was not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to modify or remove any such condition or requirements are so to make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety standards or site plan requirements. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's action was consistent with local needs, the HAC must uphold it even if it made the housing uneconomic. The HAC's decision is subject to review in the courts. A condition or requirement makes housing "uneconomic" if it would prevent a limited dividend organization from building or operating the housing without a reasonable return on its investment.

A ZBA's decision is "consistent with local needs" if it applies requirements that are reasonable in view of the regional need for low- and moderate-income housing and the number of low-income persons in the city or town, as well as the need to protect health and safety, promote better site and building design, and preserve open space, if those requirements are applied as equally as possible to both subsidized and unsubdized housing. Requirements are consistent with local needs" if more than 10% of the city or town's housing units are low- or moderate-income units or if such units are on sites making up at least 1.5% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town. Requirements are also considered "consistent with local needs" if the application would result, in any one calendar year, in beginning construction of low- or moderate-income housing on sites making up more than 0.3% of the total private land zoned for residential, or industrial use in the cit

YES 4

NO

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senale or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010? SUMMARY

This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September 2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the same reduction in the rate used to determine the amount to be deposited with the state Commissioner of Revenue by non-resident building contractors as security for the payment of

sales and use tax on langible personal property used in carrying out their contracts.

The proposed law provides that if the 3% rates would not produce enough revenues to satisfy any lawful pledge of sales and use tax revenues in connection with any bond, note, or other contractual obligation, then the rates would instead be reduced to the lowest level allowed by law.

The proposed law would not affect the collection of moneys due the Commonwealth for sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property or serv-

ices occurring before January 1, 2011.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales and use tax rates.

YES

NO

212